Absence of galectin-3 attenuates neuroinflammation improving functional recovery after spinal cord injury

After spinal cord injury (SCI), a cascade of events begins. At first, there is physical damage with disruption of the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and the integrity of the nervous tissue. The disruption of central nervous system (CNS) BBB alters the endothelial permeability, the protein and chemokines expression and the propensity to release in situ inflammatory cytokines, overcoming anti-inflammatory signals, facilitating the attraction and entry of immune system cells into the injured spinal cord parenchyma (Gaudet et al., 2011). As a result, there is a neuroinflammatory response with changes in blood flow, edema, cell infiltration, apoptosis and release of axonal growth inhibitory factors. Nerve function loss occurs when the nerve impulse propagation is interrupted and do not reach its target. This disorder encompasses neuron and glia apoptosis, accompanied by Wallerian degeneration of disconnected axons, and CNS cells exposure to a hostile microenvironment that hampers axon regeneration (Mautou et al., 2000; Harkey et al., 2003). Additionally, the damage spreads further in a phenomenon called progressive hemorrhagic necrosis – PHN, with the appearance of petechial hemorrhagic foci and deterioration in areas outside the lesion epicenter during the next 2 – 24 hours after the trauma (Simard et al., 2007). Our laboratory investigates the role of galectin-3, a protein linked to mechanisms of inflammation behind the cell cycle control and neuropehagocytic latrual degeneration/regeneration with perspectives of a novel treatment (Mostacada et al., 2015).

After CNS trauma there is a cellular response that leads to neuroinflammation. Neutrophils are the first cells to infiltrate the injured site in a response to the exposure of damage-associated molecule patterns (DAMP) and to alterations of the microenvironment. They appear in the first 24 hours, reach a peak, and start to decline around 48 hours after the injury. Even though neutrophils have an immunological role against infections, in the injured CNS they can be harmful due to its degranulation and release of proteolytic and hazardous enzymes such as elastase, matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) which contribute to tearing down the vascular and nervous tissue architecture. Neutrophils also release inflammatory cytokines (interleukins-1β, -6, tumor necrosis factor alpha [TNF-α]), that amplify inflammation and tissue injury, and chemokines that attract macrophages (such as macrophage inflammatory protein 1 – MIP-1, macrophage chemoattractant protein 1 – MCP-1/CCL2, interleukin-8). In the subacute phase, monocytes start to arrive (they reach a peak in 72 hours). At the same time, there is a more prominent response from the resident microglia, which changes its morphology to a macrophage-like form (Williams et al., 2011). Monocytes assume two phenotypes according to what is expressed in the surrounding tissue: Ly6c+CX3CR1+ (inflammatory type responsive to MCP-1/CCL2 and MCP-3/CCL7) and Ly6c+/CX3CR1− (anti-inflammatory type responsive to CX3CL1). This polarization also occurs when monocytes assume macrophage morphology, becoming M1 or M2. M1 is an inflammatory macrophage lineage activated by T helper 1 (Th1) intercession and exposure to inflammatory cytokines such as interferon gamma (IFN-γ), which starts to produce inflammatory cytokines (IL-12, IL-23, IL-1β, TNF-α), cytotoxic mediators and harmful factors (proteases, reactive oxygen species, nitrogen metabolites). A predominant M1 activation, therefore, may cause more damage to the tissue, hindering the recovery. M2 is an anti-inflammatory macrophage lineage activated by T helper 2 (Th2) and exposure to anti-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-4 (IL-4), which starts to drive to an anti-inflammatory state, inhibiting the production of inflammatory cytokines and harmful factors, rising the expression of major homeostatic mediators like IL-10, IL-4 and TGF-β, with the aim of promoting tissue repair and regeneration. After spinal cord compression, the lesion was performed in the spinal cord with a 30 g vascular clip during one minute at T12 vertebral level, inducing hind limb paralysis. We evaluated the motor function using the Basso Mouse Scale (BMS) at 1, 3, 7, 28, and 42 days after SCI. All 39 animals started with a 9 score (highest score), and after the compression they scored 0, showing that the compression injury was successful (Figure 1). After 42 days after lesion, sham animals (those just underwent a laminectomy surgery with no spinal cord compression) had the same 9 score. On the other hand, wild type animals achieved a weak recovery (score –2) compared to Gal3–/– (score –4) after 42 days (P < 0.001 – P < 0.0001 in Mostacada et al, 2015, Figure 1). These results demonstrate that the absence of galectin-3 had a favorable effect in the neurofunctional function recovery. We evaluated the spinal cord lesion extension and the preserved white matter to measure the magnitude of the lesion by histological analysis with Luxol Fast Blue plus Hematoxylin and Eosin, and compared Gal3–/– and wild type mice at 1, 3, 7 and 42 days after SCI. We observed a smaller extension of the lesion in Gal3–/–, after 7 days, with a decrease of the lesion extension in the subsequent days, compared to wild type (P = 0.0003 at 7 days and 42 days after injury according to Mostacada et al, 2015, Figure 2). In Gal3–/– the lesion was concentrated near the compression epicenter. The average preserved white matter area was higher in Gal3–/– compared to wild type (P < 0.009 at 7 days, P < 0.05 at 42 days and P < 0.009 comparing the averages between 7 and 42 days). In the morphologic analysis of semi-thin sections we observed that 28 days after lesion, even with both Gal3–/– and wild type passing through an intense degeneration and tissue disorganization, there were more spared fibers in Gal3–/– animals (P < 0.05).

By immunohistochemistry, we observed a higher proportion of neutrophils reaching the parenchyma at 3 days after lesion in Gal3–/– as compared to wild type animals. We evaluated type A or B of these cells and macrophages of both M1 and M2 lineages at 3 and 7 days after lesion. All these cells were marked with anti-CD11b, and just M2 lineage cells were also labeled with Arginase-1 once M1 can not be marked with since they secrete neurotrophic factors such as nerve growth factor (NGF), transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) and others. However, if there is a M1 overall activation, the inflammatory/detrimental state will prevail. Between 3 – 7 days after SCI both M1 and M2 populations are found in the lesion site, but the stimuli that maintain M2 population start to decrease, resulting in a predominant M1 activity. Some M1 cells can reside in the lesion site for months, perpetuating its neurotoxic effect (Kigler et al., 2009; Xia et al., 2014).

Galectins are members of 14 lectins family proteins phylogenetically preserved, 12 of them are present in the human species. One of this members, galectin-3, our research focus, is expressed by neoplastic cells, macrophages, epithelial cells, fibroblasts, and activated T cells, having a pleiotropic action. It is involved in cellular activation, chemoattraction, cellular growth and differentiation, cellular cycle, apoptosis, and cell adhesion. Together with other molecules and factors, galectin-3 shows an important role as an inflammation regulatory factor. They are involved in the expression of L-selectin and IL-8, being associated with neutrophil migration, and the promotion of superoxide anion production. They facilitate the phagocytic role of macrophages and monocytes, as well as the polarization of macrophages to M1 or M2 phenotypes (MacKinnon et al., 2008), thereafter, exerting a role in degeneration or regeneration of the injured nervous system. Previous works of our group (Narciso et al., 2009; Mietto et al., 2013) showed that C57BL/6 mice knockout for galectin-3 (Gal3–/–) have a better functional score in the recovery period compared to wild type C57BL/6, after a peripheral nerve injury. Both works showed a prominent neuroinflammatory and degenerative state in the early stages after the injury, accompanied by an efficient phagocytic and clearance role by macrophages in the lesion site, which is important to neural regeneration. Some researchers showed a controversial association of the rise of TNF-α and other interleukins with neural regeneration, even in Gal3–/– mice subjected to sciatic nerve compression. The rise a elevation of these inflammatory cytokines and chemotaxants are apparently necessary to drive inflammatory cells to the site of injury and begin the process of cleaning the area to allow the axonal growth cone elongation (Mietto et al., 2013).

Our latest research elucidated the effects of galectin-3 absence in the motor function recovery, correlating it with morphological and cellular alterations in Gal3–/– C57BL/6 mice compared to wild type C57BL/6 after a spinal cord compression. The lesion was performed with a 30 g vascular clip during one minute at T12 vertebral level, inducing hind limb paralysis. We evaluated the motor function using the Basso Mouse Scale (BMS) at 1, 3, 7, 28, and 42 days after SCI. All 39 animals started with a 9 score (highest score), and after the compression they scored 0, showing that the compression injury was successful (Figure 1). After 42 days after lesion, sham animals (those just underwent a laminectomy surgery with no spinal cord compression) had the same 9 score. On the other hand, wild type animals achieved a weak recovery (score –2) compared to Gal3–/– (score –4) after 42 days (P < 0.001 – P < 0.0001 in Mostacada et al, 2015, Figure 1). These results demonstrate that the absence of galectin-3 had a favorable effect in the neurofunctional function recovery. We evaluated the spinal cord lesion extension and the preserved white matter to measure the magnitude of the lesion by histological analysis with Luxol Fast Blue plus Hematoxylin and Eosin, and compared Gal3–/– and wild type mice at 1, 3, 7 and 42 days after SCI. We observed a smaller extension of the lesion in Gal3–/–, after 7 days, with a decrease of the lesion extension in the subsequent days, compared to wild type (P = 0.0003 at 7 days and 42 days after injury according to Mostacada et al, 2015, Figure 2). In Gal3–/– the lesion was concentrated near the compression epicenter. The average preserved white matter area was higher in Gal3–/– compared to wild type (P < 0.009 at 7 days, P < 0.05 at 42 days and P < 0.009 comparing the averages between 7 and 42 days). In the morphologic analysis of semi-thin sections we observed that 28 days after lesion, even with both Gal3–/– and wild type passing through an intense degeneration and tissue disorganization, there were more spared fibers in Gal3–/– animals (P < 0.05).

By immunohistochemistry, we observed a higher proportion of neutrophils reaching the parenchyma at 3 days after lesion in Gal3–/– as compared to wild type animals. We evaluated type A or B of these cells and macrophages of both M1 and M2 lineages at 3 and 7 days after lesion. All these cells were marked with anti-CD11b, and just M2 lineage cells were also labeled with Arginase-1 once M1 can not be marked with...
Figure 1 Basso Mouse Scale evolution on groups of mice with spinal cord compression lesion. Since SHAM group (WT SHAM, Gal3–/– SHAM) did not go through a compression lesion, the score of this group was always the highest (Xiang et al., 2014). Forty-two days after lesion I Gal3–/– mouse had a BMS score of 4 with a substantial motor function recovery. At the same time, wild type animals did not reach that score and stopped in BMS score 2, representing a weaker motor function recovery. ***P< 0.001. ****P< 0.0001. Adapted from Mostacada et al., 2015.

Figure 2 Evolution of the damage to the spinal cord in C57BL/6 wild type mouse (upper orange row) in comparison to galectin-3 knockout (Gal3–/–) mice (bottom green row). The image shows the laminectomy surgery to access the spinal cord and the compression with vascular clips. The sequence shows the neutrophils orchestrating a pro-inflammatory response intermediated by M1 (macrophage) and Ly6c–/– Cx3CR1–/– (monocytes) or an anti-inflammatory response by M2 (macrophage) and Ly6c–/–C3CR1–/–. The thin arrows indicate the interleukins, chemokines and factors released in each situation. The column “Lesion extension” shows the extension of hemorrhage characterized by red dots. In the column “White matter preservation”, representing a Luxol Fast Blue staining, the preserved white matter corresponds to the blue area, and the bluish pale area corresponds to either gray matter or damaged white matter. The last column shows the outcome in each lineage, with a paraplegic wild type C57BL/6 mouse and a partial motor function recovery in a non-paraplegic Gal3–/– C57BL/6 mouse. Adapted from Mostacada et al., 2015.
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