Sciatic nerve repair using adhesive bonding and a modified conduit
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Abstract

When repairing nerves with adhesives, most researchers place glue directly on the nerve stumps, but this method does not fix the nerve ends well and allows glue to easily invade the nerve ends. In this study, we established a rat model of completely transected sciatic nerve injury and repaired it using a modified 1 cm-length conduit with inner diameter of 1.5 mm. Each end of the cylindrical conduit contains a short linear channel, while the enclosed central tube protects the nerve ends well. Nerves were repaired with 2-octyl-cyanoacrylate and suture, which complement the function of the modified conduit. The results demonstrated that for the same conduit, the average operation time using the adhesive method was much shorter than with the suture method. No significant differences were found between the two groups in sciatic function index, motor evoked potential latency, motor evoked potential amplitude, muscular recovery rate, number of medullated nerve fibers, axon diameter, or medullary sheath thickness. Thus, the adhesive method for repairing nerves using a modified conduit is feasible and effective, and reduces the operation time while providing an equivalent repair effect.
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Introduction

Nerve anastomosis is an important mechanism in the fields of neurosurgery and microsurgery that is vital to reconstruct nerve function and to increase the success rate of reconstructions. Currently, suture remains the gold standard for peripheral nerve anastomosis. However, both epineurial and perineurial sutures unavoidably injure the nerve fiber. The suture material itself can also possibly induce an inflammatory reaction (Harris and Tindall, 1991; Diao and Vannuyen, 2000; Choi et al., 2004). Because of the shortcomings of suturing, many researchers have developed different instruments and methods for better restoration of neurologic motion and sensory functions (Braun, 1966; de Medinaceli et al., 1983; Strauch, 2000; Wieken et al., 2003). In recent years, sutureless methods have been gaining traction. One method using lasers could offer certain advantages over sutures such as less tissue injury, a smaller inflammatory reaction, and a shorter procedure (Beggs et al., 1986; Almquist, 1988; Bailes et al., 1989; Korff et al., 1992; Dubuisson and Kline, 1993; Menovsky et al., 1994; Menovsky et al., 1996). Fibrin glue has also been suggested instead of the laser. This glue is less expensive and simpler than the laser setup, though the main disadvantages of glue are similar to those of laser methods: a low bonding strength and the occurrence of allergic reactions (Matras et al., 1972; Cruz et al., 1986; Smahel et al., 1987; Narakas, 1988; Maragh et al., 1990). Cyanoacrylate has gradually caught the interest of researchers not only because it is cheap and simple to use, but also because it offers sufficient tensile strength. Many researchers have studied the repair of peripheral nerves using cyanoacrylate in animal models and obtained encouraging results (Awe et al., 1963; Choi et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2006; Merolli et al., 2009; Landegren et al., 2010). Pineros-Fernandez et al. (2005) and Elgazzar et al. (2007) achieved end-to-end nerve anastomosis using octyl 2-cyanoacrylate and n-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate and concluded that this method was effective and safe. Nevertheless, both groups glued the cyanoacrylate directly onto the nerve. Nerve is very soft, making it difficult to maintain the correct position of the nerve ends when gluing them. To improve sutureless anastomosis, a conduit may be a useful assistant instrument because it can contribute to better fixing of the nerve ends and to avoiding the invasion...
of glue into the nerve ends. The concept of nerve conduits was introduced in neurosurgery several decades ago, and has gradually become widely accepted (Doolabh et al., 1996; Bertleff et al., 2005; Sinis et al., 2005; Taras et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2006; Schlosshauer et al., 2006; Dorneisei et al., 2011). With the help of a conduit, a tiny gap can be created between the two nerve stumps, and it is widely accepted that if the gap width is 10 mm or less, the autograft nerve and conduit can achieve a good repair (Stang et al., 2005; Schlosshauer et al., 2006; Bozkurt et al., 2007; Pfister et al., 2007; Madaghiele et al., 2008). Nevertheless, few papers have addressed the design of the special nerve conduit needed for the adhesive technique, including definition of the conduit structural parameters. Ordinarily, nerve conduits are cylindrical. For nerve repair, the two ends are inserted into the tube to fix their positions, and then glue is placed onto the ends of the tube to bond the nerve stumps. However, it is difficult to insert the nerve ends into the conduit because the nerve is soft and there is frictional resistance. The length of nerve that should be inserted into the conduit is also unclear. Merolli et al. (2009) successfully anastomosed rat sciatic nerve with cyanoacrylate and a homemade nerve conduit. In that experiment, the authors ingeniously reshaped the cylindrical conduit into a double-halved cuboid. This conduit is a novel instrument, and nerve stumps can be easily inserted into the conduit and fixed well. However, the procedures with this conduit become a little more complicated, reducing the time savings, which is an important feature of the adhesive method. Taken together, these reports suggest that the adhesive technique is a promising method, and is better suited for nerve repair when a nerve conduit is used, but the conventional conduit shape may not be ideal for the adhesive bonding technique.

To improve this sutureless method, we designed a special conduit for the adhesive technique and defined the best parameters for its use through in vitro testing, and then repaired nerves with cyanoacrylate and the modified conduit in an in vivo rat model.

Materials and Methods

Animals

A total of 60 male Sprague-Dawley rats, weighing 280–320 g, were housed in special cages with free access to food and water. They received humane care in compliance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (Institute of Laboratory Animals, Commission on Life Science, National Research Council. Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 1996). The animal experimental protocol was approved by the local Ethics Committee of the Chinese PLA General Hospital and the Committee of the Animal Experiment Center of the Chinese PLA Postgraduate Medical School.

Study design and experimental groups

The 60 rats were randomly divided into three groups. One in vitro experiment was performed to determine the appropriate length of the nerve ends that should be inserted into the conduit. A small amount of glue was siphoned into the conduit if not enough nerve was placed inside it, and that glue could invade the nerve end and affect the reconstruction. The length required depends on the length of the conduit. Forty sciatic nerves taken from twenty rats in this experiment were randomly divided into four groups, each with a different nerve insertion length into the conduit: 2, 3, 4, or 5 mm to mimic the process of repair (n = 10).

Another in vitro experiment was performed to determine the appropriate conduit inner diameter. To use as little glue as possible to reduce the biological toxicity caused by cyanoacrylate, we only placed a single drop of glue on each conduit end. Thus, the different inner conduit diameters led to different tensile strengths that the specimens could support. However, the larger the inner diameter of the conduit, the easier it was to put the nerve end into the conduit. Because the average diameter of normal rat sciatic nerve was 1.3 mm (based on our measurements), we designed three conduits with inner diameters of 1.5, 1.8, and 2.0 mm. Forty sciatic nerves taken from twenty rats for this experiment were randomly divided into four groups (n = 10): a suture control group that used 9-0 suture, and the three different conduit inner diameter groups (1.5, 1.8, and 2.0 mm) to mimic the process of repair.

An in vivo animal experiment was performed in 20 Sprague-Dawley rats to determine the feasibility and effectiveness of this method. The rats were randomly divided into the experimental or control group as follows. In the experimental group (n = 10), the right sciatic nerve was sharply transected and anastomosed with 2-octyl-cyanoacrylate and a redesigned conduit. In the control group (n = 10), anastomosis of the right sciatic nerve was performed using 9-0 microsurgery sutures and a nerve conduit.

Nerve conduit

Two small linear channels were made on the conduit surface in a straight line, but not connected, from each conduit end. The beginning of the channel was at the notch, and its length was slightly shorter than the length of the inserted nerve, which makes nerve insertion along the channel, and avoiding curves, simple. Moreover, the intact portion covered the ends completely, better protecting them (Figure 1). The conduit material was silicone, because the protective effect of silicone is reliable (Lundborg et al., 1982; Merle et al., 1989; Lundborg et al., 1997; Braga-Silva, 1999), and the aim of this study was to evaluate the feasibility and effectiveness of this new method. The conduit inner diameter was first set as 1.5 mm, and the length was first set as 10 mm, with the final parameters defined through the in vitro testing.

Adhesive and container

The adhesive used in this study was 2-octyl-cyanoacrylate (Dermabond, Ethicon Inc., Somerville, NJ, USA). The advantages of this glue include low biotoxicity and strong tensile resistance. For convenience during the operation, the glue was placed in a sterile and soft plastic container with a cone-shaped head and cylindrical base. A 1 mL syringe needle was installed on the container head, allowing us to easily...
control the quantity of glue applied.

Surgical procedure for the in vitro experiments
All rats used for the in vitro tests were sacrificed with a lethal intraperitoneal dose of ketamine hydrochloride. The skin and subcutaneous fascia of the right leg were dissected, and the sciatic nerve was exposed by dissecting the hamstring muscles. Approximately 2 cm of the nerve was cut out and soaked in normal saline, and then the same procedure was repeated on the left leg. All sciatic nerves were harvested following this method.

For the first in vitro experiment, 40 nerve specimens were randomly divided into the four groups to compare insertion lengths, as defined above. In the 2 mm group, the specimen was transected in the middle, and the stumps were inserted 2 mm into the conduit, and then one drop of glue was placed on each conduit end where it connected with the nerve. After about 15 seconds, the glue was solidified, and the condition of the nerve end was observed. The same procedure was done for the 3, 4, and 5 mm insertion groups.

For the second in vitro experiment, an additional 40 nerve specimens were harvested and randomly divided into four groups to compare conduit inner diameters, as defined above. In the suture group, the specimen was transected in the middle, each nerve end was connected using the 9-0 peri-neurial suture method with six stitches, and then the tension strength of the samples was tested. In the other three adhesive groups, all of the specimens were inserted 4 mm into the conduit. The specimens were transected in the middle, and the stumps were placed into the 1.5, 1.8, or 2.0 mm inner diameter conduits. One drop of glue was placed on each conduit end at the connection to the nerve. After the glue solidified, the tensile strength of the samples was tested.

Surgical procedure of animal experiment
The animals were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of a combination of ketamine hydrochloride (40–50 mg/kg; Wanhe Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) and xylazine (10 mg/kg, Wanhe Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.). The right sciatic nerve was exposed, and the left was left intact. Immediately, the nerve was sharply transected 1 cm away from the distal sciatic trifurcation using microscissors. In the experimental group, the two nerve ends were inserted 4 mm into the conduit using microscope forceps along the linear. One drop of glue was placed on each conduit end by gently pinching it, and the glue took 5–10 seconds to solidify. Then, a drop of glue was similarly placed on the other conduit end, finishing the repair procedure (Figure 2). In the control group, the suture needle was first inserted through the conduit outside wall at 11 o’clock more than 4 mm from the end of the con-
duit. Next, the needle was pushed through the epineurium of one nerve end, and then through the conduit from the inside wall at 1 o’clock. This procedure was repeated for the symmetric part of the same conduit and nerve end. These procedures were then repeated on the other nerve end. Finally, the stumps were inserted into the conduit by pulling the eight

Table 1 MEPL, MEPA, and wet weight of the triceps surae muscle at 12 weeks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>MEPL (ms)</th>
<th>MEPA (mV)</th>
<th>Weight of muscle (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational side</td>
<td>Non-operational side</td>
<td>Operational side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhesive</td>
<td>1.78±0.42</td>
<td>1.53±0.19</td>
<td>18.56±4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suture</td>
<td>1.81±0.35</td>
<td>17.65±3.52</td>
<td>1.34±0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were expressed as mean ± SD. The statistical method was independent samples t-test. There were 20 rats on the non-operational side and 10 rats on the operational side. No significant differences in MEPL, MEPA, or the ratio of muscle wet weights were detected between the two groups (P > 0.05). MEPL: Motor evoked potential latency; MEPA: motor evoked potential amplitude.

Figure 4 The change in sciatic function index (SFI) of the two groups at different time points after sciatic nerve repair with two methods. The nerve function progressively increased after reaching the lowest level at 2 weeks. There were no significant differences in SFI between the two groups at any time point.

Figure 5 Macroscale images for general observation of the sciatic nerve in the two groups at 12 weeks after repair. (A) Adhesive group, (B) suture group. The repaired nerves showed good cooptation. The degree of tissue adhesion was mild, but slightly more clear in the distal end of the adhesive group (arrow). No neuroma formed in either group.

Figure 6 Histology of the sciatic nerve in the two groups after repair by the two methods at 12 weeks. (A, C, E) Adhesive group; (B, D, F) suture group. In both (A) and (B), relatively neatly arranged nerve fibers, multiple axons, and Schwann cells were present, as well as slight edema that occurred in (B; × 40), but did not appear in (A; × 40). In (C) and (D), tiny myelinated and unmyelinated fibers were observed by light microscopy (× 100). In (E) and (F), the myelin sheath was observed by transmission electron microscopy (× 1,000).
Electromyography and muscle wet weight ratio
After 3 months, all rats were again anesthetized, their sciatic nerves were exposed, and somatosensory evoked potentials were recorded in both the operated and intact hind limbs of the rats using a portable electromyography instrument (Keypoint, Medtronic Danmark A/S, Copenhagen, Denmark). The active electrode was inserted into the gastrocnemius muscle. Five hundred stimulation pulses of 0.2 ms each were generated at a rate of 1.5 Hz. The stimulus intensity was 6.0 mA, and a slight twitching of the limb was observed in all rats. Motor evoked potential latency and motor evoked potential amplitude (positive wave peaks) were measured. Then, all rats were killed while under deep anesthesia in an atmosphere saturated with CO₂. The triceps surae (gastrocnemius and soleus) muscles were then harvested and the same procedure was performed on the other leg. Blood was cleaned from the muscles using filter papers, they were weighed on an electrical scale, and then the wet weight ratio of the operated to non-operated side was calculated. Muscle atrophies if nerve control is lost, and the weight will recover with nerve regeneration. Thus, higher mass ratios indicate better recovery.

Table 2 The number of medullated nerve fibers, axon diameter, and myelin sheath thickness in the distal zone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number of medullated fibers</th>
<th>Axon diameter (μm)</th>
<th>Myelin sheath thickness (μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adhesive</td>
<td>10,359±218</td>
<td>3.78±0.32</td>
<td>0.55±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suture</td>
<td>10,297±304</td>
<td>3.51±0.41</td>
<td>0.57±0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were expressed as mean ± SD (n = 10), independent samples t-tests. No significant differences were detected between the two groups.

Histological analysis
Approximately 1 cm of all the operated nerves on the right side was retrieved after general observation, including the distal and proximal 5 mm next to the anastomosis. The retrieved nerves were fixed in glutaraldehyde for at most 24 hours, dehydrated in serial passages of acetone, and then embedded in araldite. Semi- and super-thin traverse sections were cut in the distal 3 mm of the anastomosis, and then a vertical section was cut. The longitudinal sections were stained with Luxol fast blue and counterstained with hematoxylin and eosin, and the semi-thin traverse sections were stained with toluidine blue. The samples were observed by light microscopy (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The super-thin sections were stained with uranium-lead dyeing and observed by transmission electron microscopy (Phenom Inc., Amsterdam, Netherlands). The number of medullated fibers, axonal diameter, and the thickness of the myellary sheet were measured by image analysis software (Image Pro Plus 6.0, Media Cybernetics Inc., Rockville, MD, USA).

Statistical analysis
All quantitative experimental data were presented as mean ± SD. Qualitative results were presented as percentages. The data were statistically analyzed using independent-sample t-test and Pearson Chi-square test, with Kruskal-Wallis H test when appropriate, using SPSS 13.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) with P-values less than 0.05 considered significant.

Results
Conduit structural parameters
For the first in vitro experiment, glue spilled into the ends of seven specimens in the 2-mm insertion group, and into the ends of five specimens in the 3-mm group. No glue spillage occurred in the 4- or 5-mm groups. Thus, insertion of at least 4 mm of the nerve end into the conduit was effective for avoiding glue spillage. Based on this, we recommend a conduit length of 10 mm. The 2-mm gap permitted between the two ends was for reducing the tensile strength caused by neural retraction. The length of the channels on the conduit surface was 3 mm.

The average tensile strength was 2.48 ± 0.32 N in the 1.5-mm inner diameter conduit group, 1.93 ± 0.26 N in the 1.8-mm group, 1.26 ± 0.41 N in the 2.0-mm group, and 2.56 ± 0.28 N in the suture group. There was no significant difference between the 1.5-mm and suture groups, but the differences between the 1.8- and 2.0-mm groups and the suture group was significant. Therefore, the 1.5 mm inner diameter conduit was used for the in vivo study.
Operation time
The time required to complete nerve anastomosis was significantly decreased using the adhesive method. With the use of the adhesive, anastomosis required $1.47 \pm 0.42$ minutes. With the suture method, the time was $12.24 \pm 2.85$ minutes, a significantly longer operation time ($P < 0.05$). All procedures were performed by the first author alone.

Assessment of sciatic nerve function after repair
Two weeks after the operation, the sciatic function index scores tended towards $−100$ in both groups, showing a distinct sign of nearly complete loss of function. After 2 weeks, both groups underwent progressive recovery through 12 weeks (Figure 4). There were no significant differences in the sciatic function index between the two groups at 2, 4, 8, or 12 weeks.

Changes in motor evoked potential latency, motor evoked potential amplitude and wet weight of triceps surae muscle after sciatic nerve repair
The motor evoked potential latency of the treated limbs in both groups were longer than their corresponding non-operated sides ($P < 0.05$), and the motor evoked potential amplitudes of the treated limbs in both groups were lower than their corresponding non-operated sides ($P < 0.05$) at 12 weeks. There was no difference in motor evoked potential latency or motor evoked potential amplitude between the two groups. Atrophy of the triceps surae muscle was visible in all rats at 12 weeks. However, no significant difference in the ratio of muscle wet weight was detected between the two groups ($P > 0.05$, Table 1).

Sciatic nerve morphology at 12 weeks after repair
Macroscopic examination revealed no signs of nerve dehiscence. The gross appearance of the repaired nerves showed good coaptation and all conduits were normally shaped in all rats. The degree of the inflammatory reaction was mild, but slightly more obvious in the adhesive group. No neuroma formed (Figure 5).

Light microscopic examination revealed anatomical continuity and various degrees of axonal regeneration. The two repair methods showed several common morphological features, and the differences were mainly found in original data. In both groups, the longitudinal sections of the repair site showed fascicular pattern loss and neatly arranged nerve fibers running continuously through the anastomotic stoma (Figure 6). In the distal zone, no significant difference in the number of medullated nerve fibers was detected between the adhesive and suture groups. Tiny myelinated and nonmyelinated fibers were visible in both groups. This result suggested that regenerated axons entered the distal stump and that myelination gradually occurred (Figure 6). No significant differences in axon diameter or myelin sheath thickness were found between the two groups (Table 2).

Assessment of adhesive integrity
No rats died during surgery or recovery. In the first 2 weeks, sciatic nerve paralysis was clearly observed, and skin ulcers were found on the feet of all rats in both groups. Subsequently, nerve regeneration occurred in all rats. The integrity of nerve adhesion in the adhesive group was as reliable as in the suture group. There was little tissue inflammatory reaction in either group. The shape of the conduit was the same in both groups.

Discussion
The repair of peripheral nerves using sutures, no matter epineural or perineural, is the gold standard of care. Many researchers have recognized the shortcomings of conventional sutures (Norris et al., 1988; Maragh et al., 1990; Myles et al., 1992; Sierra, 1993). Several sutureless methods have been developed that, no matter what method they use, must fulfill certain criteria, including having enough tensile strength, cause less neural trauma than sutures, and be minimally toxic to tissues. Our goal is to find a high quality technique for nerve repair to replace sutures.

Recently, adhesive bonding techniques have been gaining more attention. The main advantage of adhesive techniques is their simplicity, which greatly shortens the operation time while maintaining satisfactory repair quality. This contributes to a decreased time of wound exposure, and reduces the relative risk of infection. Another advantage of this sutureless technique is that it avoids injuring the axon with needles, and the lack of foreign bodies minimizes the inflammatory reaction. These advantages contribute to better nerve recovery. Moreover, this adhesive technique is easily to master than microscopic suturing. Based on these advantages, researchers have used fibrin glue instead of sutures for almost 30 years (Steube et al., 1988; Maragh et al., 1990; Palazzi et al., 1995). Although they reported reasonable results, but other researchers found that this glue did not provide enough bonding strength (Narakas, 1988; Cruz et al., 1986).

Cyanoacrylate demonstrates better adhesive strength, lower biotoxicity, biodegradability, and bacteriostatic features than fibrin glue (Elgazzar et al., 2007). Two research groups have even reported the successful repair of neural transection injury with cyanoacrylate, such as Pineros-Fernandez (Pineros-Fernandez et al., 2005) and Elgazzar (Elgazzar et al., 2007), and both of them achieved satisfying results. Cyanoacrylate polymers produce formaldehyde as a by-product of hydrolytic degradation, which can cause biological toxicity. However, the production rate of formaldehyde decreased with an increasing length of alkyl groups and the molecular weight of the resulting cyanoacrylate polymers, such as n-decyl, n-octyl, n-heptyl, n- or isobutyl, and methyl, which lowers the toxicity (Tseng et al., 1990; Toriumi et al., 1991; Locatelli et al., 2009). The glue 2-octyl-cyanoacrylate is the first approved for use in the clinic in the United States. The tensile strength of 2-octyl-cyanoacrylate has been reported to be three times larger than butyl cyanoacrylate (Penoff, 1999; Hall et al., 2000; Ang et al., 2001; Souza et al., 2008; Pope and Knowles, 2013). Thus, we used 2-octyl-cyanoacrylate as the adhesive, which is a key point of the study.

Many researchers place glue around the anastomotic stoma directly without using any instruments. Owing to the properties of neural tissue, it is very difficult to keep the nerve stumps in the ideal position during the operation, and if the nerve ends are misdirected, the neural functional properties of neural tissue, it is very difficult to keep the
recovery will be affected. On the other side, the glue could easily flow into the gap between the two ends and then solidify, which could delay nerve regeneration. In addition, when the nerve is transected, retraction can occur. If the nerves are repaired end to end by placing glue directly around the anastomotic stoma, the adhesive strength of the repair would be increased to better resist tensile stress.

The nerve conduit, another important aspect of our technique, could be a useful instrument to solve these problems. The concept of the conduit was introduced into neurosurgery several years ago and has been supported by positive results in animal experiments and in clinical treatments (Doolabh et al., 1996; Bertleff et al., 2005; Schlosshauer et al., 2006). The conduit provides an advantageous environment for nerve regeneration by protecting and fixing nerve stumps, preventing neuroma formation, decreasing neurotrophic factor-loss, and allowing a small gap to exist between the two stumps (Doolabh et al., 1996; Fine et al., 2002; Keilhoff et al., 2003; Sundback et al., 2003; Sinis et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2010). Most researchers sutured the conduit to the nerve in their experiments, and repaired the nerve successfully, which was replicated in the present study with the suture conduit control group. With the aim of developing a better nerve conduit, researchers have explored different conduit materials, injecting different factors into the conduit, and changing its structure. The nerve conduit could be a suitable alternative to autologous grafts, and may be widely used clinically for the repair of defective nerves in the future. Based on our literature survey, few studies have combined a conduit with an adhesive technique to reconstruct nerves, and only a few papers have reported the development of special conduits for adhesive techniques by modifying the structure for easier operations. Merolli et al. (2009) successfully repaired rat sciatic nerve with glue and a Chinese-made conduit. The authors presented an ingenious design for the conduit to enhance the repair effect, but this original conduit was not particularly suited for adhesive techniques. The aims of that paper were to use the conduit instead of an autologous graft and to modify the cylindrical conduit that was designed for the adhesive technique. Using this design, the nipping site can approximate the nerve end, which helped to overcome friction inside the conduit and to avoid nerve curvature. Silicone was chosen as the conduit material because it is histocompatible, stiff enough to avoid collapse (de Ruiter et al., 2009), and demonstrates appropriate elasticity. Also, the channel on the conduit surface automatically closes after the forceps are placed into the nerve. For these reasons, we choose this material for the present experiment. As expected, none of the conduits experienced deformation during the experiments. However, this material is not degradable, so it requires another operation for retrieval. A more suitable material for conduits should be explored in the future.

With these two key techniques, the use of an adhesive and conduit, this sutureless method makes nerve repair simpler and more efficient, shortening operation time by about 10 minutes compared with the suture technique. Using the conduit, a 2-mm gap can be left between the two nerve ends, and it has been shown to work during nerve repair by severa'l papers (Doolabh et al., 1996; Sinis et al., 2005; Merolli et al., 2009). This gap can help to avoid tensional anastomosis, which does not benefit nerve recovery. Furthermore, with the help of this modified conduit, the glue can be prevented from contacting the nerve ends directly, which decrease the influence of adhesive toxicity. The present study showed that sutureless adhesive bonding anastomosis is feasible and efficient, while achieving similar results as the suture method, based on the timing record, general observation, electrophysiology, muscle recovery, and histological analysis.

In summary, both cyanoacrylate and the Chinese-made conduit played an important role in nerve repair. The custom conduit with specific parameters can improve the adhesive technique. This method of repairing nerves with these two techniques is feasible, convenient, and effective. This technique is promising for clinical treatment, and future studies should be performed to further improve it.
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